

Clinical Studies. Using Microprocessor Knees with K2 Subjects.

1. Hahn A, Bueschges S, Prager M, Kannenberg A. The effect of microprocessor controlled exo-prosthetic knees on limited community ambulators: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Disabil Rehabil* 2021 Oct 25:1-19. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2021.1989504. [View Article](#)
2. Davie-Smith F, Carse B. Comparison of patient-reported and functional outcomes following transition from mechanical to microprocessor knee in the low-activity user with a unilateral transfemoral amputation. *Prosth Orthot Int* 2021;45(3):198-204. DOI: 10.1097/PXR.0000000000000017. [View Article](#)
3. Jayaraman C, Mummidisetti CK, Albert MV, et al. Using a microprocessor knee (C-Leg) with appropriate foot transitioned individuals with dysvascular transfemoral amputations to higher performance levels: a longitudinal randomized clinical trial. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2021;18(1):88. [View Article](#)
4. Kaufman KR, Bernhardt KA, Symms K. Functional assessment and satisfaction of transfemoral amputees with mobility (FASTK2): A clinical trial of mixcroprocessor-controlled vs. non-microprocessor-controlled knees. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2018 Oct;58:116-122. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.07.012. Epub 2018 Jul 19. [View Article](#)
5. Lansade C, Vicaut E, Paysant J, Ménager D, Cristina MC, Braatz F, Domayer S, Pérennou D, Chiesa G. Mobility and safety with a microprocessor-controlled knee in moderately active amputees: A multi-centric randomized crossover trial. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*, 2018 May 14. pii: S1877-0657(18) 30058-7. doi: 10.1016/j.rehab.2018.04.003. [Epub ahead of print]. [View Article](#)
6. Mileusnic MP, Hahn A, Reiter S. Effects of a novel microprocessor-controlled knee, Kenevo, on the safety, mobility, and satisfaction of lower-activity patients with transfemoral amputation. *J Prosthet Orthot*, 2017;29(4):198-205. [View Article](#)
7. Hahn A, Lang M, Stuckart C. Analysis of clinically important factors on the performance of advanced hydraulic, microprocessor-controlled exo-prosthetic knee joints based on 899 trial fittings. *Medicine*. 2016;95(45):e5386. [View Article](#)
8. Hahn A, Lang M. Effects of mobility grade, age, and etiology on functional benefit and safety of subjects evaluated in more than 1200 C-Leg trial fittings in Germany. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2015; 27(3): 86-95. [View Article](#)

9. Wong CK, Rheinstein J, Stern MA. Benefits for adults with transfemoral amputation and peripheral artery disease using microprocessor compared with nonmicroprocessor prosthetic knees. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2015; 94 (10): 804-810. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000265.
[View Article](#)
10. Kannenberg A, Zacharias B, Pröbsting E. Benefits of microprocessor-controlled prosthetic knees to limited community ambulators: Systematic review. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2014; 51(10): 1469-1496.
[View Article](#)
11. Eberly VJ, Mulroy SJ, Gronley JK, Perry J, Yule WJ, Burnfield JM. Impact of a stance phase microprocessor-controlled knee prosthesis on level walking in lower functioning individuals with a transfemoral amputation. *Prosthet Orthot Int*, 2014; 38(6): 447-55 (ISSN: 1746-1553).
[View Article](#)
12. Theeven P, et al. Influence of Advanced Prosthetic Knee Joints on Perceived Performance and Everyday Life Activity Level of Low-Functional Persons with a transfemoral Amputation or Knee Disarticulation. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2012;44:454-461. [View Article](#)
13. Burnfield JM, et.al. Impact of Stance Phase Microprocessor-Controlled Knee Prosthesis on Ramp Negotiation and Community Walking Function in K2 Level Transfemoral Amputees. *POI*. 2012;36(1):95-104. [View Article](#)
14. Theeven P, et al. Functional Added Value of Microprocessor-Controlled Prosthetic Knee Joints in Daily Life Performance of Medicare Functional Classification Level-2 Amputees. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2011;43:906-915. [View Article](#)
15. Hafner BJ. et al. Differences in Function and Safety between Medicare Functional Classification Level-2 and -3 Transfemoral Amputees and Influence of Prosthetic Knee Joint Control. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2009;46(3):417-434. [View Article](#)
16. Kahle JT, et al. Comparison of Non-Microprocessor Knee Mechanism versus C-Leg on Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire, Stumbles, Falls, Walking Tests, Stair Descent, and Knee Preference. *J. Rehabil. Med.* 2008;45(1):1-14. [View Article](#)

Ottobock North America, Reimbursement
P 800 328 4058 F 800 230 3962
US: <https://shop.ottobock.us>
CA: <https://shop.ottobock.ca>
reimbursement911@ottobock.com