

## Powered Microprocessor-Controlled Ankle-Foot Mechanisms

### Bibliography

1. Pickle NT, Silverman AK, Wilken JM, Fey NP. Statistical analysis of timeseries data reveals changes in 3D segmental coordination of balance in response to prosthetic ankle power on ramps. *Sci Rep* 2019 Feb 4;9(1):1272. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37581-9.
2. Montgomery JR, Grabowski AM. Use of a powered ankle-foot prosthesis reduces the metabolic cost of uphill walking and improves leg work symmetry in people with transtibial amputations. *J R Soc Interface* 2018 Aug;15(145). pii: 20180442. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2018.0442.
3. Ingraham KA, Choi H, Gardinier ES, Remy CD, Gates DH. Choosing appropriate prosthetic ankle work to reduce the metabolic cost of individuals with transtibial amputation. *Sci Rep* 2018 Oct 17;8(1):15303. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-33569-7.
4. Gardinier ES, Kelly BM, Wensmen J, Gates DH. A controlled clinical trial of a clinically-tuned powered ankle prosthesis in people with transtibial amputation. *Clin Rehabil* 2018 Mar;32(3):319-329. doi: 10.1177/0269215517723054. Epub 2017 Jul 27.
5. Pickle NT, Silverman AK, Wilken JM, Fey NP. Segmental Contributions to Sagittal-plane Whole-body Angular Momentum When Using Powered Compared to Passive Ankle-foot Prostheses on Ramps. *IEEE Int Conf Rehabil Robot* 2017 Jul;2017:1609-1614. doi: 10.1109/ICORR.2017.8009478.
6. Pickle NT, Grabowski AM, Jeffers JR, Silverman AK. The functional roles of muscles, passive prostheses, and powered prostheses during sloped walking in people with transtibial amputation. *J Biomech Eng.* 2017 Nov 1;139(11): 1110051–11100511. doi: 10.1115/1.4037938.
7. Rabago CA, Aldridge Whitehead J, Wilken JM. Evaluation of a powered ankle-foot prosthesis during slope ascent gait. *PLoS One* 2016 Dec 15;11(12):e0166815. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166815. eCollection 2016.
8. Pickle NT, Wilken JM, Aldridge Whitehead JM, Silverman AK. Whole-body angular momentum during sloped walking using passive and powered lower-limb prostheses. *J Biomech* 2016 Oct 3;49(14):3397-3406. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2016.09.010. Epub 2016 Sep 14.
9. Russell Esposito E, Aldridge JM, Wilken JM. Step-to-step transition work during level and inclined walking using passive and powered ankle-foot prostheses. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2016 Jun;40(3):311-9. doi: 10.1177/0309364614564021. Epub 2015 Jan 27.
10. Takahashi KZ, Horne JR, Stanhope SJ. Comparison of mechanical energy profiles of passive and active below-knee prostheses: A case study. *Prosthet Orthot Int.* 2015 Apr;39(2):150-6. doi: 10.1177/0309364613513298. Epub 2014 Jan 13.
11. D'Andrea S, Wilhelm N, Silverman AK, Grabowksi AM. Does use of a powered ankle-foot prosthesis restore whole-body angular momentum during walking at different speeds? *Clin Orthoped Rel Res* 2014;472:3044-3054. DOI 10.1007/s.11999-014-3647-1.

## Powered Microprocessor-Controlled Ankle-Foot Mechanisms

### Bibliography

12. Russell Esposito E, Wilken JM. Biomechanical risk factors for knee osteoarthritis when using passive and powered ankle-foot prostheses. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2014 Dec;29(10):1186-92. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2014.09.005. Epub 2014 Sep 18.
13. Grabowski AM, D'Andrea S. Effects of a powered ankle-foot prosthesis on kinetic loading of the unaffected leg during level-ground walking. *J NeuroEng Rehabil* 2013 Jun 7;10:49. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-10-49.
14. Gates DH, Aldridge JM, Wilken JM. Kinematic comparison of walking on uneven ground using powered and unpowered prostheses. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2013 Apr;28(4):467-72. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2013.03.005. Epub 2013 Apr 18.
15. Hill D, Herr H. Effects of a powered ankle-foot prosthesis on kinetic loading of the contralateral limb: A case series. *IEEE Int Conf Rehabil Robot.* 2013 Jun;2013:6650375. doi: 10.1109/ICORR.2013.6650375.
16. Herr HM, Grabowski AM. Bionic ankle-foot prosthesis normalizes walking gait in for persons with leg amputations. *Proc R Sco B* 2012 Feb 7;279(1728):457-64. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.1194. Epub 2011 Jul 13.
17. Ferris AE, Aldridge JM, Rabago C, Wilken JM. Evaluation of a powered ankle-foot system during walking. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2012 Nov;93(11):1911-8. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.06.009. Epub 2012 Jun 22.
18. Aldridge JM, Sturdy JT, Wilken JM. Stair ascent kinematics and kinetics with a powered lower leg system following transtibial amputation. *Gait Posture* 2012;36:291-295. DOI 10116/j.gaitpost.2012.03.013
19. Mancinelli C, Patrilli BL, Tropea P, Greenwald RM, Casler R, Herr H, Bonato P. Comparing a passive-elastic and a powered prosthesis in transtibial amputees. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2011;2011:8255-8. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2011.6092035.
20. Au SK, Weber J, Herr H. Powered ankle-foot prosthesis improves walking metabolic economy. *IEEE Transactions Robotics* 2009;25(1):51-66.
21. Au SK, Herr H, Weber J, Martinez-Villalpando EC. Powered ankle-foot prosthesis for the improvement of amputee ambulation. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2007;2007:3020-6

### Additional Studies that Support L5973

1. Kaluf B, Duncan A, Bridges W. Comparative effectiveness of microprocessor-controlled and carbon-fiber energy-storing-and-returning prosthetic feet in persons with unilateral transtibial amputations: Patient-reported outcome measures. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2020; in print.

## Powered Microprocessor-Controlled Ankle-Foot Mechanisms

### Bibliography

2. Thomas-Pohl M, Villa C, Davot J, Bonnet X, Facione J, Lapeyre E, Bascou J, Pillet H. Microprocessor prosthetic ankles: comparative evaluation of people with transtibial traumatic amputation during standing on level ground and slope. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol* 2019 Sep 19:1-10. doi: 10.1080/17483107.2019.1629112. [Epub ahead of print]
3. Wurdeman SR, Stevens PM, Campbell JH. Mobility analysis of Amputees (MAAT 5): Impact of five common prosthetic ankle-foot categories for individuals with diabetic/dysvascular amputation. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng* 2019 Feb 13;6:2055668318820784. doi: 10.1177/2055668318820784. eCollection 2019 Jan-Dec.
4. Lamers EP, Eveld ME, Zelik KE. Subject-specific responses to an adaptive ankle prosthesis during incline walking. *J Biomech* 2019 Oct 11;95:109273. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.017. Epub 2019 Jul 26.
5. McGrath M, Davies KC, Laszczak P, Rek B, McCarthy J, Zahedi S, Moser D. The influence of hydraulic ankles and microprocessor control on the biomechanics of trans-tibial amputees during quiet standing on a 5° ramp. *Can Prosthet Orthot J* 2019;2(2):2. <https://doi.org/10.33137/cpoj.v2i2.33517>
6. McGrath M, Laszczak P, Zahedi S, Moser D. The influence of a microprocessor controlled hydraulic ankle on the kinetic symmetry of trans-tibial amputees during ramp walking: a case series. *J Rehabil Assist Technol Eng* 2018 Oct 8;5:2055668318790650. doi: 10.1177/2055668318790650. eCollection 2018 Jan-Dec.
7. Bai X, Ewins D, Crocombe AD, Xu W. Biomechanical assessment of hydraulic ankle-foot devices with and without microprocessor control during slope ambulation in trans-femoral amputees. *PloS One* 2018 Oct 5;13(10):e0205093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0205093. eCollection 2018.
8. Abdulhasan ZM, Scally AJ, Buckley JG. Gait termination on a declined surface in trans-femoral amputees: Impact of using a microprocessor-controlled limb system. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2018 Aug;57:35-41. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.05.015. Epub 2018 May 30.
9. Alexander N, Strutzenberger G, Kröll J, Barnett C, Schwameder H. Joint moments during downhill und uphill walking of a person with trans-femoral amputation with a hydraulic articulating and a rigid prosthetic ankle – a case study. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2018;30(1):46-54.
10. Schmalz T, Altenburg B, Ernst M, Bellmann M, Rosenbaum D. Lower limb amputee gait characteristics on a specifically designed ramp: Preliminary results of a biomechanical comparison of two prosthetic foot concepts. *Gait Posture* 2019 Feb;68:161-167. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.11.017. Epub 2018 Nov 16.

## Powered Microprocessor-Controlled Ankle-Foot Mechanisms

### Bibliography

11. Ernst M, Altenburg B, Bellmann M, Schmalz T. Standing on slopes – how current microprocessor-controlled prosthetic feet support transtibial and transfemoral amputees in everyday tasks. *J NeuroEngin Rehabil* 2017 Nov 16;14(1):117. doi: 10.1186/s12984-017-0322-2.
12. Hahn A, Sreckovic I, Reiter S, Mileusnic M. First results concerning the safety, walking and satisfaction with an innovative, microprocessor-controlled four axes prosthetic foot. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2018 Jun;42(3):350-356. doi: 10.1177/0309364617747976. Epub 2018 Feb 5.
13. Alexander N, Strutzenberger G, Kroell J, Barnett CT, Schwameder H. Joint moments during downhill and uphill walking of a person with transfemoral amputation with a hydraulic articulating and a rigid prosthetic ankle – a case study. *J Prosthet Orthot* 2018;30(1):46-54.
14. Struchkov V, Buckley JG. Biomechanics of ramp descent in unilateral trans-tibial amputees: Comparison of a microprocessor-controlled foot with conventional ankle-foot mechanisms. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 2016 Feb;32:164-170. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2015.11.015. Epub 2015 Dec 5.
15. Rosenblatt NJ, Bauer A, Rotter D, Grabiner MD. Active dorsiflexing prostheses may reduce trip-related fall risk in people with transtibial amputation *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2014; 51(8): 1229-1242.
16. Darter BJ, Wilken JM. Energetic consequences of using a prosthesis with adaptive ankle motion during slope walking in persons with a transtibial amputation. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2014; 38(1):5-11. DOI: 10.1177/0309364613481489.
17. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A, Tolchin R. Comparison of four different categories of prosthetic feet during ramp ambulation in unilateral transtibial amputees. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2014; Jun 12. pii: 0309364614536762. [Epub ahead of print]
18. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A. Influence of gait training and prosthetic foot category on external work symmetry during unilateral transtibial gait. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2013; 37(5): 396-403.
19. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O’Toole C, Gaunard I, Finnieston A. Comparison between microprocessor-controlled ankle/foot and conventional prosthetic feet during stair negotiation in people with unilateral transtibial amputation. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2013; 50(7): 941-950.
20. Delussu AS, Brunelli S, Paradisi F, Iosa M, Pellegrini R, Zenardi D, Trallesi M. Assessment of the effects of carbon fiber and bionic foot during overground and treadmill walking in transtibial amputees. *Gait Posture* 2013; 38: 876-882.

## Powered Microprocessor-Controlled Ankle-Foot Mechanisms Bibliography

21. Gailey RS, Gaunard I, Agrawal V, Finnieston A, O'Toole C, Tolchin R: Application of self-report and performance-based outcome measures to determine functional differences between four categories of prosthetic feet. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2012;49(4):597-612.
22. Fradet L, Alimusaj M, Braatz F, Wolf SI. Biomechanical analysis of ramp ambulation of transtibial amputees with an adaptive ankle system. *Gait Posture* 2010; 32: 191-198.
23. Agrawal V, Gailey R, O'Toole C, Gaunard I, Dowell T. Symmetry in External Work (SEW): A novel method of quantifying gait differences between prosthetic feet. *Prosthet Orthot Int* 2009; 33(2): 148-156.
24. Alimusaj M, Fradet L, Braatz F, Gerner HJ, Wolf SJ. Kinematics and kinetics with an adaptive ankle foot system during stair ambulation of transtibial amputees. *Gait Posture* 2009; 30: 356-363.
25. Wolf SI, Alimusaj M, Fradet L, Siegel J, Braatz F. Pressure characteristics at the stump/socket interface in transtibial amputees using an adaptive prosthetic foot. *Gait Posture* 2009; 2

**Contact information:**

Ottobock Reimbursement North America

P 800 328 4058 F 800 962 2549

professionals.ottobockus.com | professionals.ottobock.ca

[reimbursement911@ottobock.com](mailto:reimbursement911@ottobock.com)